

Main findings

Conclusion



# Monetary policy pass-through to consumer prices: Evidence from granular price data

Anastasia Allayioti Lucyna Górnicka Sarah Holton Catalina Martínez Hernández

European Central Bank

#### 12-13 September 2024 BoF-CEPR Back to Basics and Beyond: New Insights for Monetary Policy Normalisation

Disclaimer: The views expressed are the authors' and do not necessarily reflect those of the ECB.



Main findings

Conclusions

Appendix 00000

## Outline



- Motivation
- This paper
- Literature
- 2 Empirical framework
  - Data
  - Model set-up
- 3 Main findings
  - Heterogeneous transmission within the core consumption basket
  - Mechanisms of monetary policy pass-through to prices
- 4 Conclusions



Main findings

Conclusions

Appendix 00000

### Motivation

Monetary policy transmission to prices:

- Monetary policy affects prices through both direct and indirect channels
- Items with high intertemporal elasticities of substitution of expenditures most sensitive to changes in income, real rates (*Mankiw*, 1985; *Parker*, 1999)
- Items typically requiring credit are likely to have the earliest and sharpest response to monetary policy shocks (*Bernanke and Gertler, 1995*)

 $\hookrightarrow$  Complex and varied channels imply pass-through of changes in monetary policy can vary across consumption items



- The ECB modelling toolbox can provide indications of the impact of monetary policy actions on aggregate inflation but aggregate data offers limited information on transmission of monetary policy as the *effects of these channels can vary across consumption items*
- The 2021-2022 inflation surge has raised questions about the persistence of core inflation, and the speed and strength of MP transmission to consumer prices

 $\hookrightarrow$  Assessing the monetary policy transmission across consumption items can be informative about the channels and strength of overall monetary policy transmission to inflation.



- Investigate whether monetary policy transmits heterogeneously to different consumption items within the core inflation basket in the euro area
  - Bayesian VARs
  - Smooth Local Projections
- ② Categorise items as i) sensitive and ii) non-sensitive to monetary policy
  - $\hookrightarrow$  Investigate characteristics of the sensitive items related to different hypotheses on monetary policy transmission (frequency of price changes, administered prices, discretionary character of the items)
- Has transmission to disaggregated prices become stronger lately?



- Sensitive categories comprise around 31% of euro area core HICP basket and largely consist of durable goods, services and goods related to maintenance and repair or of dwellings, and transport and recreation services
- Interest-sensitive items are more frequently consumed by high-income, non-manual workers and their prices are more sensitive to changes in consumer credit
- There is a considerable overlap between non-sensitive items and administered prices in the euro area countries
- Most recent tightening cycle exhibits pass-through that appears faster and stronger



## Existing evidence

- On euro area transmission → modest impact of monetary policy on aggregate consumer price indicators: Jarocinski and Karadi (2020), Slacalek et al. (2020), Corsetti et al., (2021)
- On disaggregated prices → high intertemporal elasticity of substitution leads to larger response of expenditure of a consumption item to shocks: *Mankiw (1985)*, *Parker (1999)*, *Browning and Crossley (2000)*, *Grigoli and Sandri (2023)*
- On disaggregated prices and mon. policy → relatively small role of macroeconomic shocks in explaining disaggregated prices: Boivin et al. (2009); varying timing and magnitude of the responses across U.S. price categories: Aruoba and Drechsel (2024)
- On the role of household characteristics →: differences in consumption baskets and income shape sensitivity to shocks: Cravino et al. (2020), Orchard (2022), Ampudia et al. (2023)

Main findings

Conclusions

Appendix 00000

## Outline



- Motivation
- This paper
- Literature
- 2 Empirical framework
  - Data
  - Model set-up

### 3 Main findings

- Heterogeneous transmission within the core consumption basket
- Mechanisms of monetary policy pass-through to prices
- 4 Conclusions



- Variables of interest: 72 seasonally-adjusted items of the core inflation (HICPX) basket (4-digit COICOP level)
- **Sample**: 1999/early 2000s depending on the availability of the item, until September 2023
- Monetary policy shocks as in *Jarociński and Karadi (2020)*, updated using the database of surprises by *Altavilla et al. (2019)*: Jan. 1999 Sep. 2023
- The block of **controls** (mom growth rates): lagged item, HICP, HICPX, industrial production, unemployment rate, negotiated wage growth, 1-year German Bund yield, BBB bond spread, EUROSTOXX, EUR-USD exchange rate, Oil price, producer price index, PMI delivery times index.

Empirical framework

Main findings

Conclusions

Appendix 00000

## Bayesian VARs

• A VAR, estimated for each item *i*, helps us 'impose' some structure to our specification:

$$Y_{i,t} = A_{i,0} + A_{i,1}Y_{i,t-1} + A_{i,p}Y_{i,t-p} + u_{i,t},$$
(1)

 $Y_i$  is the  $N \times 1$  vector of endogenous data,  $A_0$  the vector of intercepts ,  $A_l$  the corresponding matrices for l = 1, ..., p lags, u is the vector of errors.

- Bayesian estimation techniques following *Chan (2020)*, accounting for heavy tails with outlier-robust estimation tacking the presence of COVID-related outliers
- Cholesky identification with the monetary policy shock ordered first (Ramey 2011, Plagborg-Muller and Wolf 2021)



Main findings

Conclusions



## Categorisation of items

- For each item, we identify periods *within* 36 months after the shock with at least three consecutive months of negative and statistically significant price response
- Items with at least one such period are classified as **items sensitive to monetary policy**, the remaining ones as not sensitive
- Additionally, we distinguish between highly- and moderately-interest rate sensitive items: maximum negative response above/below the median value across sensitive items)

Empirical framework

Main findings

Conclusion:

Appendix 00000

## Outline



- Motivation
- This paper
- Literature
- 2 Empirical framework
  - Data
  - Model set-up

### 3 Main findings

- Heterogeneous transmission within the core consumption basket
- Mechanisms of monetary policy pass-through to prices

#### 4 Conclusions

onclusions

Empirical framework

Introduction 000000

### Which items comprise the categories?



Main findings

00000000

■ つへで 13/27

Appendix

Empirical framework

Main findings

Conclusion:



### Core inflation items and monetary policy



- Sensitive items account for 31% of HICP basket
- MP shocks have around 2.5 times larger (peak) impact on highly-sensitive items as compared to moderately sensitive items
- Pass-through is also faster in the highly-sensitive category

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □



# Which characteristics of HICPX items matter for their sensitivity to monetary policy?

Are properties and dynamics of interest-sensitive items consistent with theories and predictions regarding the impact of monetary policy on consumption and inflation?

- flexibility of prices (Hong et al. 2023, Gautier et al. 2024, Alverez et al. 2024)
- intertemporal elasticity of substitution and discretionary spending (*Parker 1999, Browning and Crossley 2000, Grigoli and Sandri 2023*)
- financial constraints (Browning and Crossley 2000)

Empirical framework

Main findings

Conclusion:

- -

Appendix 00000

### Frequency of price changes

Consider two panel local projections of the 72 COICOP-4 items:

$$y_{i,t+h} - y_{i,t} = \alpha_i^{s,(h)} + \beta_1^{s,(h)} MP_t + \beta_2^{s,(h)} MP_t \times \mathbf{l}_i^h + \sum_{j=1}^M \gamma_j^{s,(h)} X_{i,t-j} + u_{t+h}^s,$$

and

$$y_{i,t+h} - y_{i,t} = \alpha_{2,i}^{f,(h)} + \beta_1^{f,(h)} MP_t + \beta_2^{f,(h)} MP_t \times \mathbf{Freq}_i^h + \sum_{j=1}^M \gamma_j^{f,(h)} X_{i,t-j} + u_{t+h}^f,$$

where  $I_i^h = 1$  if the *i*-th item is interest-sensitive and zero otherwise, and  $Freq_i^h$  is the estimate of price change frequency for item *i* from Gautier et al. (2024).  $X_{i,t-j}$  is the set of controls as in the BVAR and the sample ends in 2019 (pre-inflation surge).



Conclusion



# Frequency of price changes and responses of COICOP-4 prices to a monetary policy shock







### Discretionary spending and household characteristics

- Hypothesis: Items categorized as 'sensitive' are those of discretionary nature, possibly more frequently purchased by higher-income households
- We use the Household Budget Survey (HBS) conducted by the Eurostat every five years to compare consumption shares on HICPX items by their interest-sensitive category among i) low- and high-income households, ii) employed manual workers, employed non-manual workers and unemployed.
- We aggregate the COICOP-4 results at COICOP-3 level to match the HBS data and consider the largest six euro area economies (euro area aggregates available for 2010 only)



### Evidence from the Household Budget Survey

Table: Difference in the share of consumption baskets spent on COICOP-3 HICPX items by their monetary policy sensitivity: High-income versus low-income households (%).

|           | 2010      |           | 2015      |           | 2020      |           |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|           | Non-sens. | Sensitive | Non-sens. | Sensitive | Non-sens. | Sensitive |
| EA        | -14.0     | 52.8      |           |           |           |           |
| BE        | -10.3     | 52.2      | -21.0     | 74.4      | -31.1     | 53.2      |
| DE        | -19.2     | 55.6      | -26.1     | 57.3      | -24.0     | 52.0      |
| ES        | 0.7       | 61.3      | 2.4       | 63.6      | -7.4      | 57.4      |
| FR        | -26.2     | 43.5      | -27.5     | 49.4      | -27.5     | 49.4      |
| NL        | -14.1     | 35.8      | -27.0     | 62.8      | -24.0     | 56.0      |
| No. items | 21        | 13        | 21        | 13        | 21        | 13        |

Notes: The Table shows percentage differences in the share of consumption baskets spent on items sensitive- and non-sensitive to monetary policy shocks by households in the 5th quintile of income distribution compared to 1st income quintile households. Consumption shares come from HBS.  $\equiv$ 



# Changes in consumer credit flows are associated with larger price movements of highly interest-sensitive items



▶ ◆ ■ ▶ ◆ ■ ▶ ■ ∽ Q (~ 20 / 27

Empirical framework

Main findings

Conclusions

Appendix 00000

## Outline

#### Introduction

- Motivation
- This paper
- Literature
- 2 Empirical framework
  - Data
  - Model set-up

### 3 Main findings

- Heterogeneous transmission within the core consumption basket
- Mechanisms of monetary policy pass-through to prices

### 4 Conclusions



- We adopt a granular approach in examining the transmission of monetary policy in the euro area by looking at responsiveness of prices of individual HICPX items to monetary policy shocks
- Main findings:
  - Interest-sensitive categories comprise around 31% of HICPX, and include items of discretionary nature, primarily consumed by high-income/non-manual workers; their prices are more correlated with changes in consumer credit
  - Ont interest-sensitive items have a considerable overlap with administered prices, but differences in price flexibility do not seem to fully explain our results
  - The recent monetary policy tightening cycle has been characterised by a faster and stronger transmission to consumer prices

Empirical framework

Main findings

Conclusions



Appendix

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ 23/27





### Administered prices

Administered prices are present mostly among items that are not sensitive to monetary policy:

Table: Adiministered prices and interest-rate sensitivity

|   | HICPX       | Sensitive   | Non-sensitive |
|---|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| number of items<br>weight in HICP                                 | 72<br>681.3 | 33<br>213.1 | 39<br>468.2   |
| number of items with adm. prices<br>weight in HICP<br>(HICP=1000) | 13<br>107   | 4<br>19.4   | 9<br>87.6     |

Notes: The table shows the number of items with administered prices among the 72 COICOP-4 HICPX items in the euro area. An item is classified as administered if it was classified as such by Eurostat in 2021 in countries accounting for at least 50 percent of the euro area HICP basket.  $\ge$  •

Empirical framework

Main findings

Conclusions

Appendix 000●0

### Evidence of time-variation: BVAR



・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

Main findings

Conclusion

Appendix 0000●

# Dynamics of sensitive and non-sensitive HICPX inflation over time (3m-on-3m percentage changes)



□ ► < E ► < E ► E < <</p>
27 / 27